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$T=193 \mathrm{~K}$
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$R$ factor $=0.029$
$w R$ factor $=0.082$
Data-to-parameter ratio $=9.1$
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## Methyl 2,3-amino-3-N,4-O-carbonyl-2,3- <br> $N$-cyclo-2,3,6-trideoxy- $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-L-allopyranoside

In the structure of the title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$, the aziridine and oxazolidinone rings induce flattening of the pyranoside ring, resulting in five of the six pyranoside ring atoms being nearly coplanar. The pyranoside ring thus adopts an $E_{5}$ conformation, the methyl and methoxy substituents both being pseudo-axially disposed.

## Comment

The title compound, (I), was prepared, together with its $\alpha$ isomer (II) upon photolysis of acylazide (III) with 254 nm light in dichloromethane. Both (I) and (II) are key intermediates in a new route (Mendlik, Tao et al., 2006) for the preparation of glycosides of ristosamine (IV), an aminosugar that is found in a number of natural products including the ristomycins, members of the vancomycin antibiotic family (Crowley et al., 2004). Differentiating (I) and (II) by NMR spectroscopy was impossible and thus both were crystallized and subjected to single-crystal X-ray analysis. The structure of (II) is reported separately (Mendlik, Coleman et al., 2006).

(I)

(II)

(III)

(IV)

The molecular structure of (I) is shown in Fig. 1. Not unsurprisingly, the fusion of the aziridine and oxazolidinone rings to the pyranoside ring flattens it such that five of the six ring atoms ( $\mathrm{C} 1 / \mathrm{C} 2 / \mathrm{C} 3 / \mathrm{C} 4 / \mathrm{O} 1$ ) are roughly coplanar [within 0.0823 (12) $\AA$ of the least-squares plane], with atom C5 displaced 0.634 (2) A from this plane (Fig. 2). The pyranoside ring in (I) therefore adopts an $E_{5}$ conformation, the polar coordinates being $d=1.02, \varphi=64^{\circ}$ and $\theta=149^{\circ}$ (Berces et al., 2001). In this conformation, the two pyranoside substituents that are not part of the aziridine or oxazolidinone rings, the methyl group at C 5 and the methoxy group at C 1 , are projected pseudo-axially. This is the preferred disposition for the methoxy group as it allows for stabilization of the molecule via the anomeric effect (Lemieux \& Koto, 1974). In contrast, the pseudo-axial orientation of the methyl group would not, at first glance, appear to be favored. However, the flattened pyranoside ring structure mitigates any unfavorable 1,3diaxial interactions as H3 is pseudo-equatorial, not pseudoaxial. Moreover, flattening of the pyranoside ring also increases the distance between this methyl group and the methoxy group at C 1 thus reducing any negative steric interactions between these substituents. As is usual in crystal
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Figure 1
The molecular structure of (I), with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level and H atoms as small spheres.

Figure 2
View of (I) illustratiing of the flattening of the pyranoside ring. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. H atoms are not shown.
structures of glycosides, the rotamer about the $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{O} 2$ bond that is present is the one in which O 2 is anti to C 2 and gauche to the pyranoside ring O atom as this is the rotamer favored by the exo-anomeric effect (Lemieux \& Koto, 1974).

In the aziridine ring, the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bonds are of unequal length; the $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{N}$ bond is slightly longer than the $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{N}$ - bond, 1.502 (2) versus 1.476 (2) $\AA$, respectively. In the rigid tricyclic ring system, the oxazolidinone is forced out of its preferred planar geometry, the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{O} 3$ angle being $-13.38(18)^{\circ}$.

## Experimental

Methyl 4-O-azidocarbonyl-2,3,6-trideoxy- $\alpha / \beta$-L-erythro-hex-2-enopyranoside ( $1.496 \mathrm{~g}, 7.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), (III) (Mendlik, Tao et al., 2006), was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(702 \mathrm{ml}, 0.01 \mathrm{M})$. In 150 ml portions, the reaction
mixture was exposed to 254 nm light at room temperature in a quartz vessel for 1 h . The reaction mixtures were combined, concentrated, and the brown residue was purified by column chromatography ( $6 \times$ 12 cm silica, 0.91 of 2:1 hexane/EtOAc, followed by $1.511: 2$ hexane/ EtOAc) to afford (I) ( $394 \mathrm{mg}, 30 \%$ ) and (II) ( $788 \mathrm{mg}, 61 \%$ ) as white solids. Compound (I) was recrystallized from EtOAc (m.p. 416418 K ). Data for (I): $R_{\mathrm{F}} 0.10$ (1:1 hexane/EtOAc); $[\alpha]_{D}^{23}-7.6$ (c 0.3, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 5.29(d, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 1-$ H), $4.60(d d, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.8,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H}), 4.16(d q, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.8,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}), 3.50\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.46(d d, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.9,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H})$, $3.04(d d, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.6,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H}), 1.32(d, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125.7 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 163.7(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 93.5(\mathrm{C}-1), 72.9(\mathrm{C}-4)$, $70.9(\mathrm{C}-5), 56.5\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 43.3(\mathrm{C}-2), 40.3(\mathrm{C}-3), 14.6(\mathrm{C}-6)$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calculated for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right] \mathrm{Na}^{+}$: 208.0580; found: 208.0572.

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$
$M_{r}=185.18$
Orthorhombic, $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$
$a=5.7376$ (6) $\AA$
$b=9.3890$ (9) $\AA$
$b=16.2562(16) \AA$
$V=875.73(15)$
$\AA$
$Z=4$
$D_{x}=1.405 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
$\mu=0.11 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=193$ (2) K
Prism, colorless
$0.62 \times 0.30 \times 0.25 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Data collection

Bruker SMART 1000 CCD area
detector/PLATFORM
diffractometer
6970 measured reflections 1076 independent reflections 1014 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$ $R_{\text {int }}=0.022$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=26.4^{\circ}$
Absorption correction: multi-scan

## (SADABS; Bruker, 2003)

$T_{\text {min }}=0.746, T_{\text {max }}=0.972$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)+(0.0517 P)^{2}\right. \\
&\quad+0.1153 P] \\
& \text { where } P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) / 3 \\
&(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }<0.001 \\
& \Delta \rho_{\max }=0.21 \mathrm{e}^{-3} \AA^{-3} \\
& \Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.14 \mathrm{e}^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters ( $\AA^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}$ ).

| O1-C1 | $1.412(2)$ | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $1.476(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $1.440(2)$ | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C} 8$ | $1.41(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 1$ | $1.4020(19)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $1.501(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 7$ | $1.429(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $1.473(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $1.458(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $1.517(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{C} 8$ | $1.349(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $1.510(2)$ |
| O4-C8 | $1.198(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $1.513(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $1.502(2)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $117.39(14)$ | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2$ |  |
| C1-O2-C7 | $112.94(16)$ | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $61.25(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{C} 8$ | $108.86(12)$ | $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $105.93(15)$ |
| C2-N-C3 | $59.27(12)$ | $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $115.00(15)$ |
| C2-N-C8 | $116.57(14)$ | $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $103.42(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C} 8$ | $105.90(13)$ | $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $107.56(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{O} 2$ | $113.19(15)$ | $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $112.02(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $114.15(14)$ | $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $107.71(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $104.54(14)$ | $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $114.80(14)$ |
| N-C2-C1 | $121.67(15)$ | $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{O} 4$ | $112.59(16)$ |
| N-C2-C3 | $59.47(11)$ | $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{N}$ | $123.09(16)$ |
| C1-C2-C3 | $120.81(15)$ | $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{N}$ | $111.25(15)$ |

In the absence of significant anomalous dispersion effects, Freidel pairs were merged and the absolute configuration was assigned

## organic papers

arbitrarily. H atoms were placed in idealized positions $(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.98-$ $1.00 \AA$ ) and refined as riding, with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}$ (parent atom).

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2001); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2003); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Bruker, 2003); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.
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